

To: White, Terri-A[White.Terri-A@epa.gov]; schaffer, joan[schaffer.joan@epa.gov]
From: Smith, Bonnie
Sent: Tue 2/18/2014 5:06:46 PM
Subject: ADVICE requested: more on Ken Ward's request for the Water Research Foundation's report

Terri - I thought you might. Agree completely with you about advising an outside organization. But, she asked.

From: White, Terri-A
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2014 11:56 AM
To: Smith, Bonnie; schaffer, joan
Subject: Re: ADVICE requested: more on Ken Ward's request for the Water Research Foundation's report

So which boss knows Ken's editor? I don't, and I don't feel comfortable advising an outside organization how to handle their press relations, although I understand Jill's predicament.

From: Smith, Bonnie
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2014 11:22:17 AM
To: White, Terri-A; schaffer, joan
Subject: ADVICE requested: more on Ken Ward's request for the Water Research Foundation's report

Dear Terri and Joan,

Status:

1. Through Bill Smith - Rene or Cynthia are looking into FOIA exemptions for confidential reporters provided to EPA on security issues
2. Vicki B – is reaching out to HQ Water Security for the signed confidentiality agreement, the Foundation is also looking for a copy
3. The Foundation is looking for publically available research they CAN provide Ken.

Advice requested: See highlight below - - My institution says there is no upside in contacting the editor. Your thoughts?

To: White, Terri-A[White.Terri-A@epa.gov]; schaffer, joan[schaffer.joan@epa.gov]
From: Smith, Bonnie
Sent: Tue 2/18/2014 5:06:46 PM
Subject: ADVICE requested: more on Ken Ward's request for the Water Research Foundation's report

Terri - I thought you might. Agree completely with you about advising an outside organization. But, she asked.

From: White, Terri-A
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2014 11:56 AM
To: Smith, Bonnie; schaffer, joan
Subject: Re: ADVICE requested: more on Ken Ward's request for the Water Research Foundation's report

So which boss knows Ken's editor? I don't, and I don't feel comfortable advising an outside organization how to handle their press relations, although I understand Jill's predicament.

From: Smith, Bonnie
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2014 11:22:17 AM
To: White, Terri-A; schaffer, joan
Subject: ADVICE requested: more on Ken Ward's request for the Water Research Foundation's report

Dear Terri and Joan,

Status:

1. Through Bill Smith - Rene or Cynthia are looking into FOIA exemptions for confidential reporters provided to EPA on security issues
2. Vicki B – is reaching out to HQ Water Security for the signed confidentiality agreement, the Foundation is also looking for a copy
3. The Foundation is looking for publically available research they CAN provide Ken.

Advice requested: See highlight below - - My institution says there is no upside in contacting the editor. Your thoughts?

- Bonnie

X5543

From: Jill Thiare [<mailto:JThiare@waterrf.org>]
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2014 7:05 PM
To: Smith, Bonnie
Subject: checking in

Hi there,

Ken isn't a happy camper. We are preparing a statement that explains, in more detail, why we cannot make the requested report publically available. My thought is to share this statement with Ken and his editor as well as having it at the ready to distribute to other media if Ken insists on making this a more public issue. You mention that your boss knows his editor – **was wondering if s/he had any thoughts on the benefits/risks of reaching out to his editor?** Any insights or advice would be much appreciated.

In addition to this statement, we are working on an info sheet re: the issue at hand (why should utilities feel confident in utilizing a flushing plan with chemicals like MCHC and PPH (or not), etc.) and have let Ken know we expect to provide him this info sheet by COB on Wednesday.

Have a warm and safe weekend! And thanks again for all your help. I'll continue to keep you in the loop.

Jill

- Bonnie

X5543

From: Jill Thiare [<mailto:JThiare@waterrf.org>]
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2014 7:05 PM
To: Smith, Bonnie
Subject: checking in

Hi there,

Ken isn't a happy camper. We are preparing a statement that explains, in more detail, why we cannot make the requested report publically available. My thought is to share this statement with Ken and his editor as well as having it at the ready to distribute to other media if Ken insists on making this a more public issue. You mention that your boss knows his editor – **was wondering if s/he had any thoughts on the benefits/risks of reaching out to his editor?** Any insights or advice would be much appreciated.

In addition to this statement, we are working on an info sheet re: the issue at hand (why should utilities feel confident in utilizing a flushing plan with chemicals like MCHC and PPH (or not), etc.) and have let Ken know we expect to provide him this info sheet by COB on Wednesday.

Have a warm and safe weekend! And thanks again for all your help. I'll continue to keep you in the loop.

Jill

Jill Thiare, MPH

Director, Communications and Marketing

Office 303.347.6111

Mobile 720.318.4999

Water Research Foundation | Advancing the Science of Water

6666 W. Quincy Ave., Denver, CO 80235-3098

www.WaterRF.org

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

Jill Thiare, MPH

Director, Communications and Marketing

Office 303.347.6111

Mobile 720.318.4999

Water Research Foundation | Advancing the Science of Water

6666 W. Quincy Ave., Denver, CO 80235-3098

www.WaterRF.org

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
